## In the United States Court of Federal Claims

## **OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS**

Filed: October 26, 2020

* * * * * * * * * * * * *	*	
MICHAEL COLBATH,	*	
,	*	No. 17-599V
	*	
Petitioner,	*	Special Master Sanders
	*	•
V.	*	
	*	
SECRETARY OF HEALTH	*	Dismissal; Human Papillomavirus Vaccine
AND HUMAN SERVICES,	*	("HPV" or Gardasil); Adverse Reaction
	*	· //
Respondent.	*	
* * * * * * * * * * * * *	*	

Andrew D. Downing, Van Cott & Talamante, PLLC, Phoenix, AZ, for Petitioner.

Mallori Openchowski, United States Department of Justice, Washington, D.C., for Respondent.

## **DISMISSAL DECISION**<sup>1</sup>

On May 4, 2017, Kathleen Colbath filed a petition for compensation on behalf of her thenminor son, Michael Colbath ("Petitioner"), under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program ("Vaccine Program" or "Program"). 42 U.S.C. § 300aa-10 to 34 (2012). Petitioner alleged that he suffered a severe adverse reaction to the human papillomavirus vaccination ("HPV" or Gardasil) he received on May 6, 2014. Pet. at 1, ECF No. 1.

On August 13, 2020, Petitioner filed an unopposed motion for a decision dismissing his petition. ECF No. 66. In his motion, Petitioner indicated "[he] has made the choice that he would like to opt out of the Vaccine Program in advance of the Court ruling on entitlement...[and] wishes to pursue a third[-]party action in district court against Merck directly." *Id.* at 3. He continued, "[t]his choice should not be viewed in any way that Petitioner does not believe in the merits of his claim or that his injuries are not a result of Gardasil...[he] simply needs a judgment...so that he may reject said judgment and submit his election to opt out." *Id.* Respondent had no objection to Petitioner's motion. *Id.* 

the Internet. In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), a party has 14 days to identify and move to delete medical or other information that satisfies the criteria in § 300aa-12(d)(4)(B). Further, consistent with the rule requirement, a motion for redaction must include a proposed redacted decision. If, upon review, the I agree that the identified material fits within the requirements of that provision, such material will be

deleted from public access.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This Decision shall be posted on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002, 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2012) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services). **This means the Decision will be available to anyone with access to** 

To receive compensation under the Program, Petitioner must prove either (1) that he suffered a "Table Injury"—i.e., an injury falling within the Vaccine Injury Table—corresponding to the vaccination, or (2) that he suffered an injury that was actually caused by a vaccine. See §§ 13(a)(1)(A), 11(c)(1). An examination of the record did not uncover any evidence that Petitioner suffered a "Table Injury." At this time, a closer review of the record regarding Petitioner's entitlement to an award based on causation-in-fact is not warranted in light of Petitioner's motion for a decision dismissing his petition. Therefore, this case must be **dismissed**. **The Clerk shall enter judgment accordingly**.<sup>2</sup>

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Herbrina D. Sanders Herbrina D. Sanders Special Master

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), entry of judgment is expedited by the parties' joint filing of a notice renouncing the right to seek review.